

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
09/863,791	05/24/2001	Kenton T. Davis	025220.411A-US02	9440	
26853	7590 07/30/2003				
COVINGTO	N & BURLING		EXAMINER		
1201 PENNS	ENT DOCKETING YLVANIA AVENUE, N.W	7.	COULTER, KENNETH R		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004-2401		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2141	15	
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003	03	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PRG

Application No. 09/863,791

Applicant(s)

Davis et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Kenneth R. Coulter

Art Unit **2141**

LUMBLE	48181 IN1886	1986 B 1986 1	HILL LLI
1 1111111111	#11# 1#1###		111111 HI
LHRHA	RIAL KARAL		HELL CHE
	######################################	ATUR DIDUKUR I	JJJJJ J JJ
1 11991111			

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the	e co	ver she	et with t	the correspondence address	
Period f	for Reply						
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO E	XPI	RE	3	_ MONTH(S) FROM	
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r	no even	ıt, ho	wever, ma	y a reply be	e timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 4, 200	<u>03 (p</u>	<u>ape</u>	rs #13,	<u>, 14; Ar</u>	mendment B; Terminal Disclaimer) .	
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This acti	ion is	no	n-final.			
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u>					is/are pending in the application.	
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)					is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)					is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u>					is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)					is/are objected to.	
8) 🗌	Claims			are :	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	ition Papers					l	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					l	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆	a	scepted	or b)[\sqsupset objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawin	ıg(s)) be helc	d in abey	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on			is:	a)□ a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this	s Of	fice acti	ion.	ļ	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					İ	
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority	/ un	der 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) 🗆	a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
	*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
_	14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
	a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachm		4 . □	1 1040	in Com	/DT <i>C</i>	N 440) D Nafa)	
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	_			0-413) Paper No(s)	
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:						
-,		-، ب	,				

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasrai (U.S. Pat. No. 5,970,120) in view of Wagner (U.S. Pat. No. 5,905,908).

Application/Control Number: 09/863,791

Art Unit: 2141

2.1 Regarding claim 1, <u>Kasrai</u> discloses a method for developing a core set of messages for an element management system for a telecommunications network, comprising the steps of:

Page 3

reviewing (testing) telecommunications network management functions (Abstract); selecting the basic telecommunications network management functions (Abstract; Fig. 2; col. 5, lines 1 - 40); and

creating an element-independent (generic) telecommunications network management message, in a common telecommunications management message protocol, for each selected telecommunications management function (Abstract; Fig. 2; col. 5, lines 1 - 40).

However, <u>Kasrai</u> does not explicitly disclose a network elements but discloses a generic

provisioning protocol that allows receipt of messages.

Wagner teaches an open network for supporting I/O operations for non-standard I/O devices. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement generic provisioning protocol with regard to I/O devices because this is implicit in Kasrai.

- 2.2 Per claim 2, <u>Kasrai</u> teaches that more than one of the plurality of telecommunications network elements are manufactured by different manufacturers (col. 5, lines 5 9).
- 2.3 Regarding claim 3, <u>Kasrai</u> does not explicitly disclose that more than one of the plurality of telecommunications network elements are different equipment types.

Application/Control Number: 09/863,791

Art Unit: 2141

Wagner discloses an open network system that promotes compatibility between different

equipment types.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

implement compatibility between different equipment types because Kasrai implies this feature

because of the different users that may communicate (MCI, Spring, AT&T, and GTE, and other

telecommunications companies) (col. 5, lines 5 - 9).

2.4 Per claims 4 - 21, the rejection of claims 1 - 3 (paragraphs 2.1 - 2.3) applies fully.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 4/4/03 (Amendment B; paper #13) have been fully considered

but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that neither Kasrai nor Wagner are related to managing telecommunication

network element devices.

Examiner disagrees.

Examiner points to "Telecommunications network 100 includes a service management system

102 that interfaces with a plurality of service control point systems or service control points

(SCP) 103 and a plurality of signal transfer point systems or signal transfer points (STP) 106"

(col. 4, lines 28 - 32).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/863,791

Art Unit: 2141

Conclusion

Page 5

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Kenneth Coulter whose telephone number is (703) 305-8447.

KENNETH R. COULTER

PRIMARY EXAMINED

krc

July 27, 2003